



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,376	07/06/2005	Eriko Harada	2005_1094A	8730
513	7590	01/16/2009		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			BEKKER, KELLY JO	
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1794	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/541,376	Applicant(s) HARADA ET AL.
	Examiner Kelly Bekker	Art Unit 1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/146/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Amendments made 11/3/08 have been entered.
Claims 1 and 3-12 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The 112 2nd paragraph rejections of claims 2, 3, 6, and 10 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention has been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments and arguments made November 3, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inayoshi et al (EP 0609465 A1). The references and rejection are incorporated herein and as cited in the office action mailed August 1, 2008. Specifically regarding the newly added limitations to claim 1, Inayoshi teaches the oil in water emulsion 1-15%, preferably 3-10% non-fat milk solids (NFMS) (Page 3 lines 14-20) and 5-30%, preferably 15-25% sugar (Page 3 lines 21-25), and thus a proportion of non-fat milk solids in the non-fat solids of preferably about 11% (3/28) to about 40% (10/25).

Claims 1 and 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko (EP 1179297 A1).

Kaneko teaches of an oil in water emulsion (abstract) comprising most preferably 4-50% fat, including palm oil which contains SUS triglycerides (paragraphs 0013 and 0014), most preferably 30-95% water (paragraph 0025), 0.05-15% protein or non-fat solids, including whey protein or non-fat milk solids (paragraphs 0015-0017), 0.005-3% carrageenan or non-fat solids (paragraph 0024), and less than 80% other ingredients including sugars or non-fat solids (paragraphs 0029 and 0030). Thus the composition

as taught by Kaneko contains a total of preferably about 0.055-66% non-fat solids of which 0.05-15% non-fat milk solids. The proportion of non-fat milk solids in the non-fat solids is about 0.08% (0.05/66) to about 100% (15/15.005). Kaneko teaches that the emulsions added as fat or oil into whipping cream, i.e. a whipped aqueous composition, and ice cream, which is inherently whipped milk product (paragraph 0031). It would be inherent that if the emulsion was added as an oil or fat to a whipping cream or ice cream that the mixture would be whipped in order to form the final whipped cream or ice cream products which were known to be aerated from whipping.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The 103(a) rejection of claims 1 and 3-12 over Hidaka et al (US 6497914 B1) has been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments made November 3, 2008.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding the Inayoshi reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Inayoshi does not teach of the claimed proportion of non-fat milk solids in the non-fat solids as instantly claimed. Applicant's argument is not convincing as Inayoshi teaches a proportion of non-fat milk solids in the non-fat solids of preferably about 11% (3/28) to about 40% (10/25), as discussed above.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a lack of heating, non-custard type cream) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant's arguments with respect to the Hidaka have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Bekker whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lien Tran/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1794

/Kelly Bekker/
Examiner
Art Unit 1794